

Aikido Security vs Snyk

A vendor-neutral technical comparison for security teams | August 2026



konvu.com/compare/aikido-vs-snyk

TL;DR Snyk sells closed engines, CNA-issued advisories, and a FedRAMP-authorized instance, and gates SSO, custom rules, reporting, and API access at Ignite or Enterprise. Aikido runs customized open-source engines under its own triage layer, prices flat from \$350/month at 10 seats, and ships most controls lower down. Neither publishes a measured false-positive rate, and no independent benchmark tests both tools.

Head-to-head overview

Category	Aikido Security	Snyk
Engines	Aikido Engine + Opengrep (SAST), + Checkov (IaC), + Gitleaks with liveness checks. Container, cloud, DAST engines undocumented	Closed for SAST, SCA, container, secrets. IaC is Snyk's own Apache-2.0 OPA engine. DAST is acquired Probely
Vuln data	Public feeds plus its own Aikido Intel malware feed. No CNA status	Proprietary Snyk Vulnerability Database. CVE Numbering Authority, 3,506 disclosed vulns
Billing unit	No published definition of a billable user, anywhere	Contributing developer: a commit to a private monitored repo in the last 90 days
FedRAMP	Zero records in the GSA marketplace at any status. Targeting Moderate Q3 2026 via Knox Systems	FedRAMP Moderate, agency ATO April 7 2025, via Snyk for Government
PR gating	Scans the branch diff. Pre-existing findings do not fail the gate	Scans the whole repo, not the diff. A new CVE in untouched code can fail a check
Scale limits	None published, and no practitioner account at high repo counts	Self-documented: 2,000 users/Org, 25,000 Projects cap, 70 GB repo, 4.5M files

What each tier actually includes (the tier difference is the finding)

Capability	Aikido Security	Snyk
Custom SAST rules	Developer (free)	Ignite and Enterprise
Risk-based prioritization	Developer. EPSS optional, off by default	Ignite and Enterprise
SSO	Advanced on the pricing table; docs say any paying plan	Ignite on the plans page; docs say Enterprise only
License compliance	Developer (free)	Ignite on the plans page; docs gate the management layer at Enterprise
Rust	Developer, with cross-file taint analysis	SAST is Enterprise Early Access. SCA limited to snyk sbom test

List price (not what teams pay)

Tier	Aikido Security (list)	Snyk (list)
Free	Developer: \$0. Capped at 2 users, 10 repos, rescan every 3 days	Free: \$0. 5 Projects, per-product test caps
Entry paid	Basic: \$350/month at 10 seats, covering seven product areas	Team: \$25 per contributing developer/month, and that price is PER PRODUCT
Mid	Pro: \$700/month at 10 seats. 100 of its \$1 credits/month	Ignite: \$1,260 per contributing developer/year, about \$105/month
Top published	Advanced: \$1,050/month at 10 seats. 200 credits/month. Annual billing is 10% off	Enterprise: quote only. Secrets and API & Web moved to credits Aug 4 2026
What teams pay	No figure with a stated sample size exists. Zero US federal award records	SBA order 73351022F0151: 50 users at \$24,872.28 = \$497.45/user/yr

When to pick which

Pick Aikido Security when:

- Widest product coverage per dollar at small to mid scale
- Your stack includes Rust, Bun, Deno, or pdm
- Auditable engines and a locally-scanning IDE plugin matter
- Compliance evidence for ISO, SOC 2, PCI DSS, or DORA is needed

Pick Snyk when:

- FedRAMP is a requirement. Aikido has no listing at any status today
- You need custom roles and a real administration audit log
- CNA-issued advisories and a curated vulnerability database matter
- You need MCP server inventory and agent supply-chain scoring today